



FAICEL CHAMROUKHI



Journée NormaSTIC et NorMAth Rouen, 12 octobre 2018





SMILES (nov 2018, for 42 months)

Statistical Modeling and Inference for unsupervised Learning at LargE-Scale **Partenaires :**

	F. Chamroukhi (coord.), A. Sesboüé, J. Fadili
	G. Chagny, Antoine Channarond, N. Vergne, C. Bérard, A. Roche
UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULON	H. Glotin, S. Paris, J. Razik, M. Richard
Internetics mathematics	C. Biernacki, V. Vandewalle

Research Axes

- **1** Task 1 : Models and inference for unsupervised large-scale data classification.
 - Sub-task 1.1 : Large-scale model-based clustering. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.2 : Large-scale LDM and inference for functional data. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.3 : Large-Scale LDM and inference for discrete data. (LMRS, LMNO, INRIA) :

Research Axes

- **1** Task 1 : Models and inference for unsupervised large-scale data classification.
 - Sub-task 1.1 : Large-scale model-based clustering. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.2 : Large-scale LDM and inference for functional data. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.3 : Large-Scale LDM and inference for discrete data. (LMRS, LMNO, INRIA) :
- **2** Task 2 : Models and inference for large-scale data representation.
 - Sub-task 2.1 : High-dimensional (non-)parametric sparse regression for large-scale representation (LMRS, LMNO) :
 - Sub-task 2.2 : Unsupervised large-scale multimodal data representation (LIS, LMNO) :

Research Axes

- **1** Task 1 : Models and inference for unsupervised large-scale data classification.
 - Sub-task 1.1 : Large-scale model-based clustering. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.2 : Large-scale LDM and inference for functional data. (LMNO, INRIA) :
 - Sub-task 1.3 : Large-Scale LDM and inference for discrete data. (LMRS, LMNO, INRIA) :
- **2** Task 2 : Models and inference for large-scale data representation.
 - Sub-task 2.1 : High-dimensional (non-)parametric sparse regression for large-scale representation (LMRS, LMNO) :
 - Sub-task 2.2 : Unsupervised large-scale multimodal data representation (LIS, LMNO) :
- **3** Task 3 : Validation and applications.
 - i) Large-scale functional data analysis (LMNO-INRIA-LMRS) of heterogeneous multivariate times series and fMRI images
 - ii) Large-scale Bioacoustical data analysis (LIS-LMNO) for environmental survey
 - iii) Large-scale biological data analysis (LMRS) by inferring large-scale biological sequences from high-throughput sequencing

Objectifs

- Données complexes → hétérogènes, temporelles dynamiques, fonctionnelles, incomplètes, de grande dimension, et disponibles en masse
- Objectif : Transformation de telles données en connaissances :
 → Reconstruction/révélation de structures cachées, i.e, (hiérarchie de) groupes; sélection de variables et prédiction, etc

 \hookrightarrow SMILES vise à élaborer un cadre scientifique et technique pour traiter et analyser des données massives hétérogènes et peu ou non-annotées

 $\hookrightarrow \mathsf{Avec} \text{ une visibilité à l'international}$

Axes du projet

- 1 Modélisation non supervisée par des modèles à variables latentes (MVL)
- 2 Inférence efficace non supervisée à grande échelle des MVL

Modélisation statistique par des MVL à l'échelle

Cadre scientifique général

- \hookrightarrow Modèles statistiques à variables latente : $f(x|\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}} f(x, z|\theta) dz$
- $\hookrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{Inférence à grande échelle par régularisation et échantillonnage :} \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \in \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \operatorname{Pen}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{array}$

Modélisation statistique non supervisée à grande échelle par des MVL

- Apprentissage génératif, via des modèles à variables latentes (régression et clustering).
- représenter explicitement la structure des données brutes et la révéler
 - $\hookrightarrow \exists$ fondement théorique solide
 - \hookrightarrow Outils afférents d'estimation et de choix de modèle
- \blacksquare \Rightarrow n'ont pas été considérés avec succès pour une analyse à grande échelle

Inférence non supervisée à grande échelle des MVL

Inférence en grande dimension

 L'inférence se ramène en général à l'optimisation de problèmes non linéaires complexes. à grande échelle :

 \hookrightarrow suggère de nouvelles stratégies de régularisation pour pallier la grande dimension

 \hookrightarrow Méthodes parcimonieuses pour une meilleure représentation

Données de gros volume

- la distribution des calculs est une façon naturelle de s'y prendre
- méthodo : échantillonnage et inférence des modèles agrégés à partir d'un gros volume de données
- → Nouvelles stratégies d'agrégation d'estimateurs et de sélection de modèle

Données longitudinales de plus en plus fréquentes



Clustering/segmentation de données temporelles



Clustering/segmentation de données temporelles



Clustering de données représentées par des graphes



Décomposition parcimonieuse non-supervisée



Décomposition parcimonieuse non-supervisée



Outline

Model-Based Co-Clustering of Multivariate Functional Data Joint work with Christophe Biernacki, INRIA-Lille

Outline

Model-Based Co-Clustering of Multivariate Functional Data

- Motivation
- Model-based co-clustering
- Temporal curve segmentation (RHLP)
- Model-based co-clustering embedding RHLP
- Conclusion and perspectives

2 Regularized Mixture-of-Experts for high-dimensional data

Functional data are increasingly frequent

[James and Hastie, 2001; James and Sugar, 2003] [Ramsay and Silverman, 2005] [Chamroukhi et al., 2010] [Bouveyron and Jacques, 2011] [Samé et al., 2011] [Jacques and Preda, 2014] [Bouveyron et al., 2018] [Chamroukhi and Nguyen, 2018]



 \hookrightarrow a growing investigation of Model-Based Clustering (MBC) for functional data

Some Reviews on MBC for functional data : [Jacques and Preda, 2014; Chamroukhi and Nguyen, 2018]

 \hookrightarrow a growing investigation of Model-Based Clustering (MBC) for functional data

Some Reviews on MBC for functional data : [Jacques and Preda, 2014; Chamroukhi and Nguyen, 2018] Tecator data set 1: n = 240 spectra with m = 1005.5 4.5 4.5 5.5 absrobance 3.5 3 5 4.5 2.5 850 900 950 1000 1050 850 900 950 1000 1050 850 900 950 1000 1050 4 absrobance 3.5 4.5 4.5 .5 absrobance 3. 3.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 850 900 950 1000 1050 1000 1050 850 950 1000 1050 850 850 900 950 900 900 950 1000 1050 wavelength wavelength wavelength wavelength

FIGURE – Original data and clustering results from Chamroukhi [2016b] for the data considered in the same setting as in Hébrail et al. [2010] (six clusters, each cluster is approximated by five linear segments (R = 5, p = 1))

Topex/Poseidon satellite data 2 : n = 472 waveforms of m = 70 measured echoes



FIGURE - Original data and clustering results from Chamroukhi [2016b] with the same setting as in Hébrail et al. [2010] : twenty clusters and a piecewise linear approximation of four segments.

^{2.} Satellite data are available at http://www.lsp.ups-tlse.fr/staph/npfda/npfda-datasets.html.

Phonemes data set $^{\rm 3}$: n=1000 log-periodograms for m=150 frequencies



FIGURE - Original data and clustering results from Chamroukhi [2016b]

^{3.} Data from http://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/staph/npfda/, used in Ferraty and Vieu [2003]

Clustering real curves of high-speed railway-switch operations Data : n = 115 curves of $m \simeq 510$ observations K = 2 clusters : operating state without/with possible defect



Clustering switch operations

Clustering real curves of high-speed railway-switch operations Data : n = 115 curves of $m \simeq 510$ observations K = 2 clusters : operating state without/with possible defect



Outline

Model-Based Co-Clustering of Multivariate Functional Data

- Motivation
- Model-based co-clustering
- Temporal curve segmentation (RHLP)
- Model-based co-clustering embedding RHLP
- Conclusion and perspectives

2 Regularized Mixture-of-Experts for high-dimensional data

This talk : Multivariate functional data clustering

- Multivariate functional data are increasingly present
- e.g : Data continuously recorded for different subjects from multiple subject' sensors

 \hookrightarrow Measurements collected from different network elements (transceivers, cells, sites. . .) :



FIGURE – An example with d = 30 and n = 20 daily observations [Ben Slimen et al., 2016].

This talk

Questioning

Clustering of highly multivariate functional data with two guidelines :

- (1) Mathematical guideline : warranty for estimation and selection
- (2) User guideline : keep a user-friendly meaning of the process

Both are important because clustering is a highly risky task...

Proposed answering

(1) Model-based co-clustering with (2) temporal curve segmentation

Novelty corresponds to combining both (1) and (2)

Difference between clustering and co-clustering

Simultaneous clustering of lines/indiv. (Z) and columns/var. (W)
Can be used as a way to reduce dimensionality (var. \rightarrow W)



FIGURE – Binary data set with n = 500, d = 300, K = M = 3

Latent block model for co-clustering

The Latent Block Model [Govaert and Nadif, 2013]

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}; \boldsymbol{\Psi}) = \sum_{(z,w) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}} \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}; \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \underbrace{f(\boldsymbol{X} | \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{data kind dependent}}$$

Hypotheses

• The latent variables Z and W are independent : $\mathbb{P}(Z, W) = \mathbb{P}(Z)\mathbb{P}(W)$ and iid : $\mathbb{P}(Z) = \prod_i \mathbb{P}(z_i)$ with $z_i \sim \text{Multinomial}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K)$ where $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(z_k = k)$ $\mathbb{P}(W) = \prod_j \mathbb{P}(w_j)$ with $w_j \sim \text{Multinomial}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M)$ where $\rho_\ell = \mathbb{P}(w_j = \ell)$ • Conditional independence : $x_{ij}|(z_i, w_j) \perp x_{ij'j'}|(z_{i'}, w_{j'})$

Latent block model for co-clustering

The Latent Block Model [Govaert and Nadif, 2013]

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}; \boldsymbol{\Psi}) = \sum_{(z,w) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{W}} \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}; \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \underbrace{f(\boldsymbol{X} | \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}; \boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{data kind dependent}}$$

Hypotheses

- The latent variables Z and W are independent : $\mathbb{P}(Z, W) = \mathbb{P}(Z)\mathbb{P}(W)$ and iid : $\mathbb{P}(Z) = \prod_i \mathbb{P}(z_i)$ with $z_i \sim \text{Multinomial}(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K)$ where $\pi_k = \mathbb{P}(z_k = k)$ $\mathbb{P}(W) = \prod_j \mathbb{P}(w_j)$ with $w_j \sim \text{Multinomial}(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M)$ where $\rho_\ell = \mathbb{P}(w_j = \ell)$
- Conditional independence : $x_{ij}|(z_i, w_j) \perp x_{i'j'}|(z_{i'}, w_{j'})$
- \hookrightarrow binary data : binary [Govaert and Nadif, 2003, 2008; Keribin et al., 2012],
- \hookrightarrow categorical data : multinomial [Keribin et al., 2014]
- \hookrightarrow contingency table : Poisson [Govaert and Nadif, 2003, 2006, 2008]
- \hookrightarrow continuous data : Gaussian [Lomet, 2012; Govaert and Nadif, 2013]
- \hookrightarrow functional data : functional PCA + Gaussian, see further [Ben Slimen et al., 2016]

Inference for the latent block model

Inference of the latent block model

- variational block EM (VBEM) for maximum likelihood estimation and fuzzy co-clustering [Govaert and Nadif, 2006, 2008].
- block classification EM (CEM) algorithm for maximum classification likelihood and hard co-clustering [Govaert and Nadif, 2003, 2006, 2008]
- Bayesian inference [Keribin et al., 2012, 2014] : Bayesian latent block mixtures for binary data and categorical data & a variational Bayesian inference and Gibbs sampling.
- Number of blocks estimation : ICL criterion [Lomet, 2012; Keribin et al., 2014]

Package blockcluster on the cloud

massiccc.lille.inria.fr



Functional data notation

- Data : (discretized) values of underlying smooth functions, not just vectors
- Data : A sample of n heterogeneous univariate curves $(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{y}_1), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n)$
- $(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i)$ consists of m_i observations $\boldsymbol{y}_i = (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{im_i})$ observed at the independent covariates, (e.g., time t in time series), $(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im_i})$

Functional data modeling : "classical" approach

[Ramsay and Silverman, 2005] and many others

- Step 1 : (x, y) decomposed into a finite basis of function (B-spline...) : $Y_i(t) \approx \sum_{r=1}^d c_{ir} \phi_r(x_i(t))$ with c estimated by OLS
- Step 2 : functional principal components analysis (PCA) which is performed as a usual PCA of the basis expansion coefficients c using a metric defined by the inner products between the basis functions
- Step 3 : set a probability distribution on \mathbf{c} , typically Gaussian

It defines a distribution on ${f c}$ instead of y_{\dots}

Functional data modeling : regression RHLP

Alternatively, use a segmentation via generative piecewise polynomial regression modeling of f(y|x) [Chamroukhi et al.])

 $\label{eq:response} \hookrightarrow \mathsf{Regression} \ \mathsf{with} \ \mathsf{Hidden} \ \mathsf{Logistic} \ \mathsf{Process} \ (\mathsf{RHLP}) \\ \hookrightarrow \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{formula} \ \mathsf{later}$

It gives a distribution on $oldsymbol{y}$ and also a meaningful segmentation of the curve

RHLP for modeling different types of functions



Package mixtcomp on the cloud

massiccc.lille.inria.fr



Multivariate functional data co-clustering

[Chamroukhi and Biernacki, 2017]

- Data : Y = (y_{ij}) a data sample matrix of n individuals defined on a set I and d continuous functional variables defined on a set J.
- Each variable y_{ij} is an univariate curve $y_{ij} = (y_{ij}(t_1), \dots, y_{ij}(t_{T_{ij}}))$ of T_{ij} observations $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ linked to covariates $x_{ij} = (x_{ij}(t_1), \dots, x_{ij}(t_{T_{ij}}))$ at the points $(t_1, \dots, t_{T_{ij}})$, typically a sampling time



Embedding RHLP in co-clustering

[Chamroukhi and Biernacki, 2017]

Functional Latent Block Model for Co-clustering :

$$\begin{split} f(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{\Psi}) &= \sum_{(z,w)\in\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{W}} \mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{Z};\boldsymbol{\pi})\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{W};\boldsymbol{\rho})f(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Z},\boldsymbol{W};\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_{(z,w)\in\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{W}} \prod_{i,k} \pi_k^{z_{ik}} \prod_{j,\ell} \rho_\ell^{w_{j\ell}} \prod_{i,j,k,\ell} \underbrace{f(\boldsymbol{y}_{ij}|\boldsymbol{x}_{ij};\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k\ell})}_{\text{RHLP}}^{z_{ik}w_{j\ell}}. \end{split}$$

with parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\Psi} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}^T, \boldsymbol{\rho}^T, \boldsymbol{\theta}^T)^T$, where $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_K)^T$, $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M)^T$, and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}_{11}^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k\ell}^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{KM}^T)^T$.

Embedding RHLP in co-clustering

RHLP [Chamroukhi et al., 2009] : model the conditional data distribution for each block kl, assuming that each functional variable y_{ij} is governed by an $S_{k\ell}$ -state hidden process of y_{ij} :

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}_{ij}|\boldsymbol{x}_{ij};\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k\ell}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T_{ij}} \sum_{r=1}^{S_{k\ell}} \alpha_{k\ell r}(t;\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) \mathcal{N}(y_{ij}(t);\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^2)$$

where the dynamical weights α' s are given by the multinomial logistic :

$$\alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) = \frac{\exp\left(\xi_{k\ell r 0} + \xi_{kr\ell 1}t\right)}{1 + \sum_{r'=1}^{S_{k\ell}-1} \exp\left(\xi_{k\ell r' 0} + \xi_{k\ell r' 1}t\right)}.$$

 \hookrightarrow Can be seen as a generative piecewise polynomial regression model where the transition points are smoothly controlled by logistic weights

 \hookrightarrow a particular mixture-of-experts model [Jacobs et al., 1991; Jordan and Jacobs, 1994]/(parametric) mixture of regressions with predictor-dependent mixing proportions [Young and Hunter, 2010]

Block mean curve approximation and segmentation

Approximation : a prototype mean curve

$$y_t|(z_i, w_j) \approx \widehat{y}_t = \mathbb{E}[Y(t)|z_i, w_j, x(t); \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}] = \sum_{s=1}^{S_{kl}} \alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{k\ell}) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{k\ell r}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)$$

→ A smooth and flexible approximation thanks to the the logistic weights
 Curve segmentation :

$$\widehat{h}_t|(z_i, w_j) = \arg\max_{1 \le k \le K} \mathbb{E}[H_t|z_i, w_j, x_{ij}(t); \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}] = \arg\max_{1 \le k \le K} \alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \widehat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{k\ell})$$

Parameter estimation : EM not feasible

EM algorithm :

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(q+1)} \in rg\max_{\boldsymbol{\Psi}} \mathbb{E}\left[\log L_{c}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}) | \mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(q)}
ight]$$

The complete-data log-likelihood :

$$\log L_{c}(\boldsymbol{\Psi}) = \log f(\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{H} | \boldsymbol{X}; \boldsymbol{\Psi})$$

$$= \sum_{i,k} z_{ik} \log \pi_{k} + \sum_{j,\ell} w_{j\ell} \log \rho_{\ell}$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,t,r} z_{ik} w_{j\ell} h_{tr} \log \left[\alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) \mathcal{N} \left(y_{ij}(t); \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^{2} \right) \right]$$

where $(h_{tr}; t = 1, ..., T_{ij}, r = 1, ..., S_{k\ell})$ is a binary variable indicating from which state the observation $y_{ij}(t)$ within the block cluster $k\ell$ is originated

Parameter estimation : EM not feasible

The E-Step computes the expected complete-data log-likelihood, given the observed curves (X, Y), and the current parameter estimation $\Psi^{(q)}$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\Psi}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(q)}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\log L_c(\boldsymbol{\Psi}) \big| \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y}; \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{(q)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i,k} \mathbb{P}(z_{ik} = 1 | \boldsymbol{y}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \log \pi_k + \sum_{j,\ell} \mathbb{P}(w_{j\ell} = 1 | \boldsymbol{y}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \log \rho_\ell$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,t,r} \mathbb{P}(z_{ik} w_{j\ell} = 1 | \boldsymbol{y}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \mathbb{P}(h_{tr} = 1 | z_{ik}, w_{j\ell}, y_{ij}(t), x_{ij}(t)) \times$$

$$\log \left[\alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) \mathcal{N} \left(y_{ij}(t); \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^T \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^2 \right) \right]$$

- \hookrightarrow Requires the calculation of the posterior joint distribution $\mathbb{P}(z_{ik}w_{j\ell}=1|m{y}_{ij},m{x}_{ij})$
- $\hookrightarrow\,$ does not factorize due to the conditional dependence on the observed curves of the row and the column labels
- ⇒ [Govaert and Nadif, 2008, 2013] proposed a variational approximation by relying on the Neal and Hinton's interpretation of the EM algorithm [Neal and Hinton, 1998].
- $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ We adopt this variational approximation in our context

 $\mathbb{P}(z_{ik}w_{j\ell}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \approx \mathbb{P}(z_{ik}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \times \mathbb{P}(w_{j\ell}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij})$

$$\mathbb{P}(z_{ik}w_{j\ell}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \approx \mathbb{P}(z_{ik}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij}) \times \mathbb{P}(w_{j\ell}=1|\boldsymbol{y}_{ij},\boldsymbol{x}_{ij})$$

Initialization : start from an initial solution at iteration q = 0, and then alternate at the (q + 1)th iteration between the following variational E- and M- steps until convergence :

VE Step Estimate the variational approximated posterior memberships :

$$\begin{array}{l} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q+1)} \propto \\ \pi_{k}^{(q)} \exp\left(\sum_{j,\ell,t,r} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \tilde{h}_{tr}^{(q)} \log\left[\alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(q)}) \mathcal{N}\left(y_{ij}(t); \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^{T^{(q)}} \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^{(q)^{2}}\right)\right]\right) \\ \\ 2 \quad \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q+1)} \propto \\ \rho_{\ell}^{(q)} \exp\left(\sum_{i,k,t,r} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{h}_{tr}^{(q)} \log\left[\alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(q)}) \mathcal{N}\left(y_{ij}(t); \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^{T^{(q)}} \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^{(q)^{2}}\right)\right]\right) \\ \\ \\ 3 \quad \tilde{h}_{tr}^{(q+1)} \propto \alpha_{k\ell r}^{(q)}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(q)}) \mathcal{N}\left(y_{ij}(t); \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^{(q)^{T}} \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}(t), \sigma_{k\ell r}^{(q)^{2}}\right) \end{array} \right)$$

where :

$$\begin{split} & \tilde{z}_{ik} = \mathbb{P}(z_{ik} = 1 | \boldsymbol{y}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}), \\ & \tilde{w}_{j\ell} = \mathbb{P}(w_{j\ell} = 1 | \boldsymbol{y}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}), \\ & \tilde{h}_{tr} = \mathbb{P}(h_{tr} = 1 | z_i, w_j, y_{ij}(t), x_{ij}(t)) \end{split}$$

M Step update the parameters estimates $\theta^{(q+1)}$ given the estimated posterior memberships at the current iteration q+1:

1
$$\pi_k^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q+1)}}{n}$$

2 $\rho_\ell^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_j \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q+1)}}{d}$

M Step update the parameters estimates $\theta^{(q+1)}$ given the estimated posterior memberships at the current iteration q+1:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \pi_k^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q+1)}}{n} \\ 2 \quad \rho_\ell^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_j \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q+1)}}{d} \end{array}$$

The update of each block parameters $\theta_{k\ell}$ consists in a weighted version of the RHLP updating rules :

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3} \quad \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(new)} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)} - \left[\frac{\partial^2 F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell} \partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^T}\right]_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)}}^{-1} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)}} \text{ which is the IRLS} \\ \text{maximisation of } F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) = \sum_{i,j,t} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \tilde{h}_{tr}^{(q)} \log \alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) \text{ w.r.t } \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}. \end{array}$$

M Step update the parameters estimates $\theta^{(q+1)}$ given the estimated posterior memberships at the current iteration q+1:

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \quad \pi_k^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_i \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q+1)}}{n} \\ 2 \quad \rho_\ell^{(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_j \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q+1)}}{d} \end{array}$$

The update of each block parameters $\theta_{k\ell}$ consists in a weighted version of the RHLP updating rules :

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{3} \hspace{0.5cm} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(new)} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)} - \left[\frac{\partial^{2}F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{T}}\right]_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)}}^{-1} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}^{(old)}} \hspace{0.5cm} \text{which is the IRLS} \\ \text{maximisation of } F(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) = \sum_{i,j,i} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \tilde{h}_{tr}^{(q)} \log \alpha_{k\ell r}(t; \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}) \hspace{0.5cm} \text{w.r.t} \hspace{0.5cm} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{k\ell}. \\ \text{The regression parameters updates consist in analytic WLS problems :} \\ \textbf{4} \hspace{0.5cm} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k\ell r}^{(q+1)} = \left[\sum_{i,j} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{ijkr}^{(q)} \mathbf{X}_{ij}\right]^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \mathbf{X}_{ijkr}^{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}_{ijkr}^{(q)} \\ \textbf{5} \hspace{0.5cm} \sigma_{k\ell r}^{2(q+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \| \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ijkr}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{y}_{ij} - \boldsymbol{X}_{ij} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{kr}^{(q+1)}) \|^{2}}}{\sum_{i,j} \tilde{z}_{ik}^{(q)} \tilde{w}_{j\ell}^{(q)} \operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ijkr}^{(q)})} \\ \textbf{6} \hspace{0.5cm} \boldsymbol{w}^{(q)}_{k\ell r} \mathbf{x}_{ij} \hspace{0.5cm} \text{is the design matrix for} \\ \text{the } i \text{th curve, } \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{ijkr}^{(q)} \hspace{0.5cm} \text{is the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the} \\ \text{posterior segment memberships } \{ \tilde{h}_{ijtr}^{(q)}; t = 1, \ldots, T_{ij} \}. \end{array} \right]$$

 \hookrightarrow It is also possible to use the Classification EM (CEM) approximation of EM [Celeux and Govaert, 1992].

Parameter estimation by an SEM algorithm : SEM-FLBM

- → The SEM algorithm [Celeux and Diebolt, 1985] allows to overcome some drawbacks of the variational-EM algorithm, including its sensitivity to starting values; SEM does not use an approximation.
- Eg. SEM for latent block models for categorical data [Keribin et al., 2012, 2014]
- The formulas of VEM-FLBM and SEM-FLBM are essentially the same, except that we incorporate a stochastic step consisting of sampling binary indicator variables z_{ik} , $w_{j\ell}$ and h_{tr} according to \tilde{z}_{ik} , $\tilde{w}_{j\ell}$ and \tilde{h}_{tr} .

Conclusion and perspectives

Conclusion

- A full generative framework for the cluster analysis and segmentation of high-dimensional non-stationary functional data
- The model inference can be performed by a variational EM algorithm or SEM

Perspectives

- Numerical experiments
- Package

References I

- Y. Ben Slimen, S. Allio, and J. Jacques. Model-Based Co-clustering for Functional Data. HAL preprint hal-01422756, December 2016. URL https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01422756.
- C. Bouveyron and J. Jacques. Model-based clustering of time series in group-specific functional subspaces. Adv. Data Analysis and Classification, 5(4):281–300, 2011.
- C. Bouveyron, L. Bozzi, J. Jacques, and F.-X. Jollois. The functional latent block model for the co-clustering of electricity consumption curves. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series C*, 2018.
- G. Celeux and J. Diebolt. The SEM algorithm a probabilistic teacher algorithm derived from the EM algorithm for the mixture problem. *Computational Statistics Quarterly*, 2(1):73–82, 1985.
- G. Celeux and G. Govaert. A classification EM algorithm for clustering and two stochastic versions. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 14:315–332, 1992.
- F. Chamroukhi. Robust mixture of experts modeling using the t-distribution. Neural Networks Elsevier, 79 :20-36, 2016a. URL https://chamroukhi.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/papers/TMoE.pdf.
- F. Chamroukhi. Skew t mixture of experts. Neurocomputing Elsevier, 266 :390-408, 2017. URL https://chamroukhi.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/papers/STMoE.pdf.
- F. Chamroukhi and C. Biernacki. Model-Based Co-Clustering of Multivariate Functional Data. In ISI 2017 61st World Statistics Congress, Marrakech, Morocco, Jul 2017. URL https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01653782.
- F. Chamroukhi, A. Samé, G. Govaert, and P. Aknin. Time series modeling by a regression approach based on a latent process. *Neural Networks*, 22(5-6) :593–602, 2009. PDF.
- F. Chamroukhi, A. Samé, G. Govaert, and P. Aknin. A hidden process regression model for functional data description. application to curve discrimination. *Neurocomputing*, 73(7-9) :1210–1221, 2010. URL https://chamroukhi.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/papers/chamroukhi_neucomp_2010.pdf.
- Faicel Chamroukhi. Piecewise regression mixture for simultaneous functional data clustering and optimal segmentation. Journal of Classification, 33(3):374-411, 2016b. URL https://chamroukhi.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/papers/Chamroukhi-PWRM-JournalClassif-2016.pdf.

References II

- Faicel Chamroukhi and Hien D. Nguyen. Model-based clustering and classification of functional data. 2018. URL https://chamroukhi.users.lmno.cnrs.fr/papers/MBCC-FDA.pdf. arXiv:1803.00276v2.
- A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. Journal of The Royal Statistical Society, B, 39(1):1–38, 1977.
- F. Ferraty and P. Vieu. Curves discrimination : a nonparametric functional approach. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 44(1-2):161–173, 2003.
- Jerome Friedman, Trevor Hastie, and Rob Tibshirani. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. Journal of statistical software, 33(1) :1, 2010.
- G. Govaert and M. Nadif. Clustering with block mixture models. Pattern Recognition, 36(2):463-473, 2003. Biometrics.
- G. Govaert and M. Nadif. Fuzzy clustering to estimate the parameters of block mixture models. Soft Computing, 10(5) : 415–422, 2006.
- G. Govaert and M. Nadif. Block clustering with Bernoulli mixture models : Comparison of different approaches. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 52(6) :3233 –3245, 2008.
- G. Govaert and M. Nadif. Co-Clustering. Computer engineering series. Wiley-ISTE, November 2013. 256 pages.
- G. Hébrail, B. Hugueney, Y. Lechevallier, and F. Rossi. Exploratory analysis of functional data via clustering and optimal segmentation. *Neurocomputing*, 73(7-9) :1125–1141, March 2010.
- R. A. Jacobs, M. I. Jordan, S. J. Nowlan, and G. E. Hinton. Adaptive mixtures of local experts. *Neural Computation*, 3(1) : 79–87, 1991.
- Julien Jacques and Cristian Preda. Functional data clustering : A survey. Adv. Data Anal. Classif., 8(3) :231–255, September 2014. ISSN 1862-5347. doi: 10.1007/s11634-013-0158-y. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11634-013-0158-y.
- G. M. James and T. J. Hastie. Functional linear discriminant analysis for irregularly sampled curves. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B, 63:533–550, 2001.
- G. M. James and C. Sugar. Clustering for sparsely sampled functional data. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 98 (462), 2003.

References III

- M. I. Jordan and R. A. Jacobs. Hierarchical mixtures of experts and the EM algorithm. Neural Computation, 6:181-214, 1994.
- C. Keribin, V. Brault, G. Celeux, and G. Govaert. Model selection for the binary latent block model. In Proceedings of COMPSTAT, 2012.
- C. Keribin, V. Brault, G. Celeux, and G. Govaert. Estimation and selection for the latent block model on categorical data. *Statistics and Computing*, pages 1–16, 2014. ISSN 0960-3174. doi: 10.1007/s11222-014-9472-2. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11222-014-9472-2.
- A. Khalili. New estimation and feature selection methods in mixture-of-experts models. Canadian Journal of Statistics, 38(4) : 519–539, 2010.
- A. Khalili and J. Chen. Variable selection in finite mixture of regression models. Journal of the American Statistical association, 102(479) :1025–1038, 2007.
- Jason D Lee, Yuekai Sun, and Michael A Saunders. Proximal newton-type methods for minimizing composite functions. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 24(3) :1420–1443, 2014.
- Su-In Lee, Honglak Lee, Pieter Abbeel, and Andrew Y Ng. Efficient l₁ regularized logistic regression. In AAAI, volume 6, pages 401–408, 2006.
- A. Lomet. Sélection de modèle pour la classification croisée de données continues. Ph.D. thesis, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, 2012.
- R. Neal and G. E. Hinton. A view of the EM algorithm that justifies incremental, sparse, and other variants, pages 355–368. Dordrecht : Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.
- Hien D. Nguyen and Faicel Chamroukhi. Practical and theoretical aspects of mixture-of-experts modeling : An overview. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews : Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, pages e1246-n/a, Feb 2018. ISSN 1942-4795. doi: 10.1002/widm.1246. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/widm.1246.
- J. O. Ramsay and B. W. Silverman. Functional Data Analysis. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, June 2005.
- A. Samé, F. Chamroukhi, G. Govaert, and P. Aknin. Model-based clustering and segmentation of time series with changes in regime. Advances in Data Analysis and Classification, pages 1–21, 2011. ISSN 1862-5347.

References IV

- P. Tseng. Coordinate ascent for maximizing nondifferentiable concave functions. 1988.
- P. Tseng. Convergence of a block coordinate descent method for nondifferentiable minimization. Journal of optimization theory and applications, 109(3):475–494, 2001.
- DS Young and DR Hunter. Mixtures of regressions with predictor-dependent mixing proportions. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 55(10) :2253–2266, 2010.
- H. Zou and T. Hastie. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society : Series B (Statistical Methodology), 67(2):301–320, 2005.

Thank you for your attention !